# The Electronic Structure of Angular Polyphenes

R. M. HEDGES AND L. F. PHILLIPS

Departments of Chemistry and Physics, Texas A. and M. University, College Station, Texas

Received December 22, 1967

SCF calculations of molecular orbitals and electronic transition energies for angular polyphenes of two through nine benzene rings have been made. The calculated sequences of the singlet and triplet energies of the  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\beta'$ , and p bands (Clar's notation) are compared to the limited available experimental data.

PPP-Rechnungen mit begrenzter Konfigurationenwechselwirkung wurden für die Reihe der angular anellierten Polyphene durchgeführt. Die berechneten  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -,  $\beta'$ - und *p*-Banden werden mit den wenigen vorhandenen experimentellen Daten verglichen.

Calculs SCF des orbitales moléculaires et des énergies de transition électronique pour les polyphènes angulaires de deux à neuf cycles benzeniques. Les séries calculées pour les énergies singulet et triplet des bandes  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\beta'$  et p (notation de Clar) sont comparées aux quelques données expérimentales disponibles.

## 1. Introduction

Much attention has been given in recent years to the calculation of the electronic structures and spectra of alternate hydrocarbons, in particular the polyphene and polyacene series of hydrocarbon molecules. Pariser [1] calculated the spectra for the first five members of the polyacene series using Hückel molecular orbitals and extensive configuration interaction. Pople [2] used self-consistent field molecular orbitals and quite limited configuration interaction to calculate the spectra of the first three members of the polyacene series. Peacock and Wilkinson [3] employed self-consistent field orbitals and invoked slightly more configuration interaction than Pople to calculate the spectra of the first nine members of the polyacene series.

Little work, however, has been done along these lines on the "angular polyphene" series. The angular polyphenes consist of benzene rings arranged in a zig-zag pattern rather than the linear pattern of the polyacenes. Chrysene and picene are typical members of the angular polyphene series. This paper reports the results of the calculation of the spectra of eight members of the angular polyphene series commencing with naphthalene and terminating with a nine-ringed molecule using self-consistent field molecular orbitals and limited configuration interaction. The carbon atom skeleton of the series that is considered in this paper is illustrated in Fig. 1.

The electronic spectra of naphthalene, phenanthrene, chrysene and picene have been reported by Clar [4] and by Klevens and Platt [5]. The members of the series having more than five rings to our knowledge have not yet been prepared nor have their spectra been measured.

## 2. Procedure

The nine-ring molecule together with the coordinate system and the numbering of the carbon atoms is shown in Fig. 1. The numbering system has been chosen so that any member of the angular polyphene series can be generated by selecting carbon atoms numbered from 1 to 4n + 2 consecutively where n is the number of benzene rings in the molecule under consideration. Those members of this series that have trivial names are naphthalene, phenanthrene, chrysene and picene with 2, 3, 4 and 5 fused rings respectively.



Fig. 1. Numbering system used for angular polyphenes

The calculations were performed on an IBM 7094 computer by means of a  $\pi$  SCF program (written by R. M. H.) [6] that uses a modified Mataga [7, 8] type algorithm for the two-electron integrals:

$$\gamma_{ii} = e^2 / (a \cdot \exp(-bR^2) + R),$$

where *e* is the electronic charge, *R* is the distance between centers *i* and *j*, *a* and *b* are constants so chosen as to achieve the best fit to Pariser's  $[1] \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{13}$ , and  $\gamma_{14}$ ; an exponential extrapolation procedure is used to accelerate convergence.

The electronic spectra were calculated using four electronic configurations involving the two highest occupied orbitals and the lowest unoccupied orbitals.

The computer output consisted of SCF MO coefficients, orbital energies, the charge density-bond order matrix, and the singlet and triplet absorption band energies.

The orbitals are classified group theoretically. All even-ringed molecules of the angular polyphene series, except naphthalene, are classified according to the  $C_{2h}$  point group. Benzene is not considered in this paper and naphthalene, for the purposes of maintaining consistency of comparison, is considered in terms of  $C_{2h}$  symmetry rather than its full symmetry of  $D_{2h}$ . It is noted however that the MO coefficients for naphthalene are the same in either case.

#### 3. Results

Since the ground state transforms according to the totally symmetric representation of the point group, only those transitions to excited states having the same representation as one of the dipole operators for a given point group are allowed. The electric dipole operators transform according to either  $A_u$  or  $B_u$  representations in the  $C_{2h}$  point group or  $A_1, B_1$ , or  $B_2$  representations in the  $C_{2h}$  point group or  $A_1, B_1$ , or  $B_2$  representations in the  $C_{2n}$  point group or and these symmetries will give rise to allowed dipole transitions. The molecular orbitals, the orbital energies, and the orbital symmetries are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

The allowed singlet and triplet transition energies are listed in Tables 3 and 4. It is evident that the configurations  ${}^{1,3}X_{16\to18} \pm {}^{1,3}X_{17\to20}$  for the eight-ring

#### Angular Polyphenes

molecule are of  $A_g$  symmetry. Hence the transitions corresponding to the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  bands of the eight-ring molecule are forbidden. Experimental values measured by Clar [4] of the singlet  $\alpha$ , p, and  $\beta$  bands of the first four members of the series considered in this paper are tabulated in Table 3, too.

Bond orders are closely related to bond lengths (the higher the bond order, the shorter is the bond length). It is of interest to examine the behavior of the bond orders of the terminal bonds as the number of rings in the molecule increases. Bond order data for these bonds are listed in Table 5. It is readily seen that the terminal bond orders,  $P_{\mu\nu}$  and  $P_{\nu\lambda}$ , approach constant values of .6083 and .7240 respectively as the molecule becomes larger. The molecular orbital coefficients required to calculate these and all other bond orders may be obtained from the authors.

мо	Naphtha	lene	Chrysene		Six-ring		Eight-rin	Eight-ring	
	E(eV)	Sym	E(eV)	Sym	E(eV)	Sym	E(eV)	Sym	
1	-14.727	$A_{\mu}$	- 15.214	$A_{\mu}$	-15.360	A <sub>u</sub>	-15.422	$A_{\mu}$	
2	-12.892	$B_a$	-14.356	$B_{a}$	14.899	Ba	- 15.137	B	
3	-11.932	$\vec{B_a}$	-13.092	$A_{u}$	- 14.166	$A_{u}$	- 14.674	$A_{\mu}$	
4	- 10.809	$A_{u}$	- 12.633	$B_q$	-13.216	$B_a$	- 14.050	B	
5	- 9.782	$A_{u}$	- 11.839	$A_{\mu}$	-12.722	$B_{q}$	- 13.288	Å,	
6	- 1.117	$B_{g}$	11.528	$B_{q}$	12.476	$A_u$	-12.733	B	
7	- 0.150	$B_{g}$	- 10.613	$B_{q}$	- 11.945	$A_{u}$	- 12.577	Å	
8	0.973	$A_{u}$	- 10.151	A <sub>u</sub>	- 11.779	$B_{g}$	- 12.504	$B_q$	
9	1.933	$A_{u}$	- 9.348	$A_{\mu}$	- 10.961	$A_{u}$	- 12.076	$B_{q}$	
10	3.768	$B_{g}$	- 1.611	$B_{g}$	10.864	$B_{g}$	- 11.755	$A_{u}$	
11			- 0.808	$B_g$	- 9.974	$B_{g}$	-11.353	$A_{u}$	
12			- 0.346	$A_{u}$	- 9.880	$A_u$	- 11.134	$B_{g}$	
13			0.569	$A_u$	- 9.143	$A_u$	- 10.458	$B_{g}$	
14			0.880	$B_{g}$	- 1.816	$B_{g}$	- 10.394	$A_{u}$	
15			1.674	$A_{u}$	- 1.079	$B_{g}$	- 9.744	$A_{u}$	
16			2.133	$B_{g}$	- 0.985	$A_u$	- 9.621	$B_{g}$	
17			3.397	$A_u$	- 0.095	$A_{\mu}$	9.030	$A_u$	
18			4.255	$B_{g}$	0.002	$B_{g}$	- 1.929	$B_{g}$	
19					0.820	$A_{\mu}$	- 1.338	$A_{u}$	
20					0.985	$B_{g}$	- 1.215	$B_{q}$	
21					1.517	$B_{g}$	- 0.565	$B_{g}$	
22					1.763	$A_{u}$	- 0.501	$A_{u}$	
23					2.257	$A_{u}$	0.175	$A_{u}$	
24					3.207	$B_{g}$	0.394	$B_{q}$	
25					3.940	$A_{u}$	0.796	$B_{g}$	
26					4.401	$B_{g}$	1.117	$A_{u}$	
27							1.545	$A_u$	
28							1.618	$B_{q}$	
29							1.814	Ă,	
30							2.329	$B_{g}$	
31							3.091	$A_{u}$	
32							3.715	$B_{g}$	
33							4.178	$A_u$	
34							4.462	$B_{g}$	

Table 1. Even-ringed angular polyphene molecular orbitals and orbital energies classified according to  $C_{2h}$  point group

МО	Phenantherene		Picene		Seven-ri	ng	Nine-ring	
	E(eV)	Sym	E(eV)	Sym	E(eV)	Sym	E(eV)	Sym
1	-15.052	$A_2$	-15.305	$A_2$	- 15.396	$A_2$	15.440	$A_2$
2	-13.801	$B_1$	- 14.688	$\boldsymbol{B}_1$	-15.039	$B_1$	-15.208	$B_1$
3	-12.577	$A_2$	-13.734	$B_1$	-14.463	$A_2$	- 14.829	$A_2$
4	-11.874	$A_2$	-12.687	$A_2$	- 13.697	$B_1$	~14.312	$B_1$
5	- 11.349	$B_1$	12.615	$B_1$	-12.821	$A_2$	- 12.942	$B_1$
6	-10.172	$B_1$	- 12.052	$B_1$	-12.725	$A_2$	- 12.942	$B_1$
7	- 9.663	$A_2$	11.493	$A_2$	-12.523	$B_1$	- 12.782	$A_2$
8	- 1.297	$B_1$	- 10.977	$A_2$	- 11.990	$A_2$	- 12.618	$B_1$
9	- 0.787	$A_2$	-10.421	$B_1$	-11.720	$B_1$	- 12.430	$A_2$
10	0.390	$A_2$	- 9.849	$B_1$	11.336	$B_1$	- 12.975	$A_2$
11	0.915	$B_1$	- 9.263	$A_2$	- 10.842	$A_2$	-11.925	$B_1$
12	1.618	$\boldsymbol{B}_1$	- 1.696	$B_1$	-10.433	$A_2$	11.426	$A_2$
13	2.842	$A_2$	- 1.110	$A_2$	- 9.964	$B_1$	-11.211	$B_1$
14	4.091	$A_2$	- 0.538	$A_2$	- 9.652	$B_1$	-10.783	$B_1$
15			0.018	$B_1$	- 9.087	$A_2$	10.438	$A_2$
16			0.534	$B_1$	- 1.872	$B_1$	- 10.072	$A_2$
17			1.093	$A_2$	- 1.307	$A_2$	- 9.762	$B_1$
18			1.656	$A_2$	- 0.995	$A_2$	- 9.472	$B_1$
19			1.728	$B_1$	- 0.526	$B_1$	- 8.995	$A_2$
20			2.775	$B_1$	- 0.117	$B_1$	- 1.964	$B_1$
21			3.729	$A_2$	0.377	$A_2$	- 1.487	$A_2$
22			4.346	$A_2$	0.761	$A_2$	- 1.197	$A_2$
23					1.030	$B_1$	- 0.887	$B_1$
24					1.564	$A_2$	- 0.521	$B_1$
25					1.766	$B_1$	- 0.176	$A_2$
26					1.862	$\boldsymbol{B}_1$	0.252	$A_2$
27					2.738	$A_2$	0.467	$B_1$
28					3.504	$B_1$	0.966	$A_2$
29					4.080	$A_2$	1.016	$B_1$
30					4.437	$B_1$	1.471	$B_1$
31							1.659	$A_2$
32							1.823	$B_1$
33							1.983	$A_2$
34							2.714	$B_1$
35							3.353	$A_2$
36							3.870	$B_1$
37							4.249	$A_2$
38							4.481	$B_1$

Table 2. Odd-ringed angular polyphene molecular orbitals and orbital energies classified according to  $C_{2v}$  point group

Table 3.	Calculated	(and expe	rimental)	singlet	electronic	spectra o	f the a	ngular	polyphen	es
							/	~ ~		

Molecule	Absorption band (eV)							
	α	р	β	β'				
Naphthalene	4.296 (3.94)	4.611 (4.30)	5.980 (5.60)	6.242				
Phenantherene	4.223 (3.59)	4.602 (4.21)	5.458 (4.86)	5.278				
Chrysene	4.107 (3.45)	4.225 (3.88)	5.395 (4.65)	5.473				
Picene	4.339 (3.29)	4.130 (3.78)	5.134 (4.33)	5.224				
Six-ring	4.172	4.057	5.300	5.296				
Seven-ring	4.842	4.015	4.856	5.445				
Eight-ring	5.511 ª	4.025	4.528 ª	5.630				
Nine-ring	5.357	4.034	4.547	5.489				

<sup>a</sup> Symmetry forbidden transitions.

Molecules	Absorption band (eV)						
	α	р	β	β΄			
Naphthalene	4.296	2.825	3.952	4.611			
Phenantherene	4.223	3.141	3.801	4.079			
Chrysene	4.107	3.009	3.881	4.526			
Picene	4.339	3.166	3.641	4.382			
Six-ring	4.172	3.227	3.966	4.611			
Seven-ring	4.842	3.331	3,594	4.718			
Eight-ring	5.511ª	3.427	3.416 <sup>a</sup>	5.004			
Nine-ring	5.357	3.521	3.534	4.867			

Table 4. Calculated triplet electronic spectra of the angular polyphenes

<sup>a</sup> Symmetry forbidden transitions.

n	$P_{\mu\nu}$	Value	P <sub>v</sub> <sup>λ</sup>	Value
2	P <sub>23</sub>	.5856	P <sub>12</sub>	.7443
3	$P_{12,13}$	.6154	$P_{13,14}$	.7173
4	$P_{12,13}$	.6058	$P_{13,14}$	.7263
5	$P_{20,21}$	.6092	$P_{21,22}$	.7232
6	$P_{20,21}$	.6080	$P_{21,22}$	.7243
7	$P_{28,29}$	.6084	$P_{29,30}$	.7239
8	$P_{28,29}$	.6083	$P_{29,30}$	.7240
9	$P_{36,37}^{20,22}$	.6083	P <sub>37,38</sub>	.7240

Table 5. Terminal bond orders for molecules of n rings

## 4. Discussion

In the spectra of the angular polyphenes observed to date, the bands occur in the order of  $\alpha$ , p,  $\beta$ , and  $\beta'$ , the order of increasing energy. The intensity of the  $\alpha$ band is quite low compared to the intensity of the p band. The p band is quite intense and is characterized by a sharp boundary between it and the  $\alpha$  band. Since it is easier to measure accurately the maximum energy of a comparatively intense band, it is expected that the experimental p and  $\beta$  band values of the corresponding transition energies are more accurate than the  $\alpha$  band values measured. Hence a comparison of calculated vs. experimental results for the  $\alpha$  band may be less meaningful.

From Table 3 good qualitative agreement between the calculated and experimental values for the p band and  $\beta$  band is evident. The calculated and experimental p band values differ by an almost constant amount of .35 eV, the calculated values being too high in each case. The  $\beta$  band calculated values are also too high but they do vary in about the same way as the experimental values. The  $\alpha$  band values are still too high compared to the experimental values but, in picene, the calculated energy rises rather than continuing to drop as experiment indicates. The error in both the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  band cases increases as the molecule becomes larger.

The semi-empirical parameters of the mathematical model have been adjusted to produce the optimum agreement with the experimental benzene spectrum. The spectrum predicted for naphthalene then is in excellent agreement with experiment with about the same magnitude of error for all bands. The agreement with experiment deteriorates as the molecule becomes larger in the sense that the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  band discrepancy tends to increase resulting in the calculated  $\alpha$  band energy becoming greater than the *p* band energy for picene in defiance of experiment. Also a degeneracy between the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  bands is predicted for the seven-ring molecule and the  $\alpha$  band energy is greater than the  $\beta$  band energy for the nine-ring molecule. Neither prediction is expected on the basis of the behavior of the experimental spectra for the smaller sized members of the series.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to acknowledge the support of The Robert A. Welch Foundation (Grant Nr. A-106) of the work. The use of the computer facilities of the Texas A. and M. University Data Processing Center is also acknowledged.

#### References

1. Pariser, R.: J. chem. Physics 24, 250 (1956).

- 2. Pople, J. A.: Proc. physic. Soc. (London) A 68, 81 (1955).
- 3. Peacock, T. E., and P. T. Wilkinson: Proc. physic. Soc. (London) 83, 525 (1964).
- 4. Clar, E.: Aromatische Kohlenwasserstoffe. Berlin: Springer 1941.
- 5. Klevens, H. B., and J. R. Platt: J. chem. Physics 17, 470 (1940).
- 6. Russell, B. R., R. M. Hedges, and W. R. Carper: Mol. Physics 12, 283 (1967).
- 7. Nishimoto, K., and N. Mataga: Z. physik. Chem. N.F. (Frankfurt) 12, 335 (1957); 13, 140 (1957).
- 8. Mataga, N.: Bull. chem. Soc. Japan 31, 453 (1958).

Professor Richard M. Hedges Department of Chemistry Texas A. and M. University, College of Science College Station, Texas 77843 USA